

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 10 2003

OFFICIAL

PATENT #14
Butt

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Applicants: | Christopher J. Edge, Timothy A. Fischer and William A. Rozzi | Examiner: | Daniel J. Chung |
| Serial No.: | 09/536,366 | Group Art Unit: | 2672 |
| Filed: | March 27, 2000 | Docket No.: | 1037-044US04 |
| Title: | COLOR MAPPING | | |

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited via facsimile with the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on September 23, 2003.

By:

Angela Watson

Name: Angela Watson

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the final Office Action mailed March 26, 2002, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration in view of the following remarks and the Terminal Disclaimer filed concurrently herewith. Applicants filed a Notice of Appeal in this application on June 26, 2003. An Appeal Brief is due September 26, 2003, i.e., within the statutory period of response to the final Office Action mailed March 26, 2002.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 25, 28-29, 31-33, 35-38, 41 and 44 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,806,081) in view of Sakuyama et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,137,595), and further in view of Shu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,400,843), and rejected claims 26-27, 30, 34, 39-40, 42, 43, 45 and 46 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swen et al., Sakuyama et al., and Shu et al., and further in view of Rozzi (U.S. Patent No. 6,232,954). The Examiner also rejected claims 25, 32-33, 38 and 41 under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 10, 13, 18, 37, 41, 45-47 of U.S. No. 6,088,038.